

(ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಂಡಜಿ ಬಸಪ್ಪ)

ನಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ, ವ್ಯವಹಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾನ್ಸಿಡ್ಡರ್ ಮಾಡಿ ನಷ್ಟ ಏನಾದರೂ ಕಂಡುಕೊಂಡರೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅಂತಹ ನಂದರ್ಥದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 70 ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರವಿದೆ. ಸೊಸೈಟಿ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್ ಆಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಅದ ಕೆಲಸ ಇತ್ತು. 47 ಜನರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲು ಆದ ಹಣ ಜಮಾ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ ಅವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೇ ಹೇಗೆ ಅಂತ ಹೇಗೆ ಲೇಗಾ ಒಪ್ಪಿಯನ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅವರಿಂದ ಒಪ್ಪಿಯನ್ ಬಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Sri ANGADI CHANNABASAPPA. Will the Government take action under section 420 of the Indian Penal Code?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಂಡಜಿ ಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಇರಬಹುದು. ಅದನ್ನು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The collection was made before he became a Director.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—As promoter he collected. After registration, he was made a Director. Cannot the Registrar therefore take action because he happens to be the Director of a Land Mortgage Bank?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—As I already submitted to this House, a dispute was filed before the Registrar. He dismissed the petition on the ground that it was not tenable.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ನಂಜೇಗೌಡ.—ಸೊಸೈಟಿ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್ ಆಗಬೇಕಾದರೆ ದುಡ್ಡು ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿ ಆಮೇಲೆ ತಾನೆ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್ ಆಗಬೇಕು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಂಡಜಿ ಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಹೌದು. ಹಣ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿ ಜಮಾ ಆಗಿರುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗ ಜಮಾ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ ಅಂತ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇರುವುದು.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Does he not incur disqualification to continue as Director?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—That can be considered separately. But, whether money can be recovered is the question now.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಯ್ಯ.—ಇದು 1960 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದಿರುವುದು, 5 ವರ್ಷ ಅದರೂ ಇನ್ನೂ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗದೇ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The arbitrator took some time. Next question may be taken up.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Question No. 353 practically refers to the same subject. Both the questions may please be taken up.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question No. 353 stands in the name of Sri Anna Rao Ganamukhi, who is not here. Hence the Chair will put it. Both the questions, i.e., 353 and 361 are open for supplementaries.

Shifting of Narayanapur Dam Site.

*Q.—533. Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI (Afzalpur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether there is a proposal before the Government to shift the present Narayanapur dam site to some other site and if so, when the decision was taken and for what reasons;

(b) the total outlay so far incurred on preliminary and subsidiary works on or near the dam site;

(c) the estimated loss if the present site is shifted ?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) Yes. Decision was taken in September 1964 based on the suggestion of the Union Minister for Irrigation and Power who inspected the dam site on 18-4-1964.

(b) Rs. 26,68,307.

(c) About Rs. 2-00 lakhs.

Work on Upper Krishna Project.

*Q.—361. Sri S. RUDRAPPA (Kalgı).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is a fact that they have suspended the work on Upper Krishna Project at Narayanapur dam;

(b) whether it is a fact that the Government of India will finance the entire amount for the construction of Upper Krishna Project;

(c) whether they intend to take Hydel Project at Chaya Bharathi Falls near Narayanapur Dam ?

A —Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) Yes.

(b) and (c) This is yet under examination.

Sri S. RUDRAPPA.—What are the reasons for suspending the work at Narayanapur Dam ?

† Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Dr. Rao, the Union Minister in charge, came and inspected the spot and he was of the opinion that Almati could be taken up and Narayanapur could be shifted to Siddapur, about three miles upstream as that would better enable the utilisation of the work irrigating the same area, and possibly save money also.

Sri S. RUDRAPPA.—May I know whether the Government have taken a final decision with regard to the Siddapur Dam ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—We were asked to investigate the dam proposed to be constructed at Siddapur and it is being investigated.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Is it not a fact that at one time the Central Power and Water Commission have given clearance to Narayanpur Dam ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Yes.